

1 JOHN F. KRATTLI, County Counsel  
2 ROGER H. GRANBO, Assistant County Counsel  
3 EDWIN A. LEWIS, Senior Deputy County Counsel  
4 (SBN 101699) • *elewis@counsel.lacounty.gov*  
5 648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
6 500 West Temple Street  
7 Los Angeles, California 90012-2713  
8 Telephone: (213) 974-1202 · Fax: (213) 626-2105

9 Attorneys for Defendants  
10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, Deputy J. MINER, Deputy  
11 REDENBAUGH and Deputy J. TELLES

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 JOHN FARATZIS, JOHN SABIN  
12 FARATZIS, and JOSEPH FARATZIS,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a  
16 political subdivision of the State of  
17 California; DEPUTY J. MINER  
18 (#509732); DEPUTY J. TELLES  
19 (#525042) and DOES 1 THROUGH 10,

20 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 12-7396 BRO (MAN<sub>x</sub>)

**ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

Action Filed: August 31, 2012  
Discovery Cutoff: July 31, 2013  
Motion Cutoff: August 30, 2013  
Trial Date: December 3, 2013

21 Defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, Deputy J. MINER, Deputy  
22 REDENBAUGH and Deputy J. TELLES'S Motion for Summary Judgment having  
23 been fully considered based upon the evidence, the separate statements, the  
24 memorandum of points and authorities filed herein, the Court finds there are no  
25 triable issues of material fact in this action and that Defendants are entitled to  
26 summary judgment as a matter of law.

27 IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT  
28 summary judgment be, and hereby is entered in favor of Defendants COUNTY OF

1 LOS ANGELES, Deputy J. MINER, Deputy REDENBAUGH and Deputy J.  
2 TELLES and against Plaintiffs JOHN FARATZIS, JOHN SABIN FARATZIS, and  
3 JOSEPH FARATZIS as to all causes of action.

4 Furthermore, the Court having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to  
5 Amend the Complaint finds that the Motion is untimely having been filed after the  
6 Court ordered deadline of August 30, 2013 and the Court independently finds that  
7 the proposed amendment is futile inasmuch as it does not appear to add any genuine  
8 material to the complaint. Therefore, the Motion to Amend is denied.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10  
11 Dated: November 6, 2013



12 HONORABLE BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28